Clinical Rating Scale for Pantothenate Kinase-Associated Neodegeneration: A Pilot Study
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ABSTRACT: Background: Pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration is a progressive neurological disorder occurring in both childhood and adulthood. The objective of this study was to design and pilot-test a disease-specific clinical rating scale for the assessment of patients with pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration.

Methods: In this international cross-sectional study, patients were examined at the referral centers following a standardized protocol. The motor examination was filmed, allowing 3 independent specialists in movement disorders to analyze 28 patients for interrater reliability assessment. The scale included 34 items (maximal score, 135) encompassing 6 subscales for cognition, behavior, disability, parkinsonism, dystonia, and other neurological signs.

Results: Forty-seven genetically confirmed patients (30 ± 17 years; range, 6-77 years) were examined with the scale (mean score, 62 ± 21; range, 20-106). Dystonia with prominent cranial involvement and atypical parkinsonian features were present in all patients. Other common signs were cognitive impairment, psychiatric features, and slow and hypometric saccades. Dystonia, parkinsonism, and other neurological features had a moderate to strong correlation with disability. The scale showed good internal consistency for the total scale (Cronbach’s α = 0.87). On interrater analysis, weighted kappa values (0.30-0.93) showed substantial or excellent agreement in 85% of the items. The scale also discriminated a subgroup of homozygous c.1583C>T patients with lower scores, supporting construct validity for the scale.

Conclusions: The proposed scale seems to be a reliable and valid instrument for the assessment of pediatric and adult patients with pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration. Additional validation studies with a larger sample size will be required to confirm the present results and to complete the scale validation testing. © 2017 International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society
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Pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration (PKAN) from mutations in the PANK2 gene is the most common subtype of neurodegeneration with brain iron accumulation disorders.1,2 Internationally validated rating scales for dystonia, parkinsonism, and ataxia3-6 have been used in PKAN series to capture clinical improvement after deep brain stimulation7-10 or iron-chelating therapies.11-13 However, PKAN patients show an overlapping dystonia-parkinsonism syndrome14 that cannot be easily differentiated, as well as other motor abnormalities (ie, chorea, pyramidal signs, or abnormal ocular movements) and neuropsychiatric disturbances (cognitive decline, behavioral abnormalities) that are not always recorded in the above-mentioned scales. Consequently, there is a lack of specific validated instruments to measure the severity and the rate of disease progression in a multidimensional and comparable manner.

In this study, we aimed to design and pilot-test a disease-specific clinical rating scale named the PKAN Disease Rating Scale (PKAN-DRS). The following clinimetric attributes were analyzed: acceptability, internal consistency, reproducibility, and construct validity. Moreover, the study allowed an accurate assessment of the broad neurological spectrum and the consequent functional impairment associated with PKAN.

Materials and Methods

Design and Participants

Cross-sectional multicenter study of 47 PKAN patients recruited at 24 centers in Europe from January 2014 to June 2016. Children and adults with genetically confirmed PKAN were included. Participants were assessed following a standardized protocol at the referral centers or at the coordinator center (Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona), some of them during the first neurodegeneration with brain iron accumulation Spanish family meeting that took place on November 2015 (http://www.guiaemetabolica.org/noticia/primer-encuentro-asociacion-enach-espanola). In cases from Greece, Portugal, and Spain, expert neurologists from the collaborating centers performed a direct translation without back-translation from the original English version of the scale.
All participants or their legal guardians provided informed consent for their participation in the study. All procedures followed the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. The study was approved by the respective hospital ethical committees.

Development of the PKAN-Disease Rating Scale

We designed the PKAN-Disease Rating Scale (PKAN-DRS) to include the main clinical features of PKAN patients according to the literature review and our personal experience, and used the following internationally validated scales as references: Unified Huntington’s Disease Rating Scale,15 Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson’s disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS),16 Unified Multiple System Atrophy Disease Rating Scale (UMSARS),17 Burke-Fahn-Marsden Dystonia Rating Scale (BFM-DS),3 and Ashworth Scale.18 The Movement Disorder Society granted permission to use select items from the MDS-UPDRS for parkinsonism and the UMSARS for ocular motility in the PKAN-DRS. Also, the Huntington Study Group granted permission to include the UHDRS Behavioral Examination in the PKAN-DRS. The expert clinicians involved in the design of the scale were responsible for the item selection. We decided to give more weight to the motor examination evaluation, which provided objective data for the assessment process, in contrast to the questionnaires addressing neuropsychiatric symptoms, which were completed by caregivers in most cases because of the severe speech difficulties of PKAN patients. The rationale weight of the subscales (SCs) addressing the motor aspects of the disease (dystonia, parkinsonism, and other neurological signs) was calculated according to the phenotype of patients included in our series, who showed a prominent parkinsonism-dystonia syndrome. Because dystonia was more disabling than parkinsonism in our cohort, we decided to assess dystonic signs bilaterally in contrast to parkinsonian signs, which were rated in the most affected limb.

The PKAN-DRS was built as a single scale of 34 items grouped into 6 SCs (Appendix 1) that can be summed to render a total score (maximum score, 135) or be analyzed separately. Each item of the scale was followed by a text describing 5 commonly accepted clinical terms: 0 = normal, 1 = slight (symptoms/signs with sufficiently low frequency or intensity to cause no impact on function), 2 = mild (symptoms/signs of frequency or intensity sufficient to cause a modest impact on function), 3 = moderate (symptoms/signs sufficiently frequent or intense to cause considerable impact, but not prevent function), and 4 = severe (symptoms/signs that prevent function).16 Exceptionally, 3 items from the disability score had different maximum ratings (walking, maximum score of 5; vision and school/work, maximum score of 3).

Clinical Rating Scale for PKAN

In contrast to this rating system, psychiatric features and ocular motor dysfunction were rated according to the number of symptoms that were identified in the patient.

Questionnaire

Cognition (SC I), behavior (SC II), and disability (SC III) were scored according to the patient’s or caregiver’s interview, based on questions regarding the situation of patients in the last month. These SCs contributed 3% each (4 of 135; SC I and II) and 26% (35 of 135; SC III) to the global scale.

SC III was a modification of the BFM disability scale (BFM-DS), which is based on the patient’s or caregiver’s report of disability in 7 activities of daily living. We added 2 more items to rate visual function19 and the capability of patients to engage at school (children) or at any kind of employment (adults).

Motor Examination

Parkinsonism (SC IV), dystonia (SC V), and other neurological signs (SC VI) were recorded using a videotaped examination protocol designed for the PKAN-DRS (Appendix 2). The investigators followed the statement “rate what you see,” assuming that overlapping of features in PKAN patients (eg, parkinsonism, dystonia, chorea, or spasticity) may interfere with the assessment of individual items in the motor examination. In situations where it was impossible to test severity, the examiner used the notation “UR,” for unable to rate. A brief explanation on how to rate the different items has been included in the PKAN-DRS (Appendix 1).

SC IV included 7 parkinsonian signs that were selected from the Part III motor examination of the MDS-UPDRS, contributing 21% (28 of 135) to the global scale. We selected finger tapping and leg agility to assess limb bradykinesia, as they have been considered reliable tests in Parkinson’s disease and atypical parkinsonisms.17,20-22

SC V for dystonia was adapted from the BFM motor scale (BFM-MS). We added 2 items for dystonic eye deviation and jaw/tongue dystonia, based on the specific characteristics of dystonia in PKAN patients. We did not apply the weighting factor (0.5) to the eyes, mouth, and neck, as in the original scale, because these areas are prominently affected in PKAN. Dystonia was rated according to the maximal severity observed during the protocol evaluation, and we did not use the provoking factor of the BFM-MS to prevent poor consistency among raters.6,23 Overall, 10 body parts were evaluated, contributing 29% (40 of 135) to the global scale. SC VI was created to include other neurological signs: speech, gait, chorea, spasticity, postural or action tremor, and ocular motor...
dysfunction. This subscale contributed 18% (24 of 135) to the global scale.

Assessment and Procedure

The 47 PKAN participants were evaluated on-site with the questionnaire and the videotaped examination protocol. Using the videotaped material, 3 independent senior specialists in movement disorders (rater 1, R.P., child neurologist; rater 2, B.P.D., child neurologist; rater 3, M.J.M., adult neurologist) analyzed 28 patients recruited between January 2014 and November 2015 for interrater reliability assessment. These examiners rated 21 items (SC IV, 6 items; SC V, 10 items; SC VI, 5 items). Rigidity and spasticity were exclusively rated in person; hence, they were excluded from this analysis. Each rater used a report form to record data and to obtain independent assessments. Raters were not allowed to exchange opinions of the evaluation.

Molecular Analyses

We performed genetic analysis of point mutations by sequencing the codified exons and their flanking intronic regions (NM_153638.2) using the Sanger method. The analysis of large deletions and/or duplications was completed by Multiplex Ligation-Dependent Probe Amplification (SALSA MLPA probemix P120-B2; MRC Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

Statistical Analysis

All data analyses were conducted using the SPSS version 24.0 statistical package. To describe patient data, we computed means, standard deviations, median, interquartile ranges, and total ranges. The scale was considered acceptable when missing responses were less than 5%, mean scores were near the scale midpoint, floor and ceiling effects were less than 20%, and skewness statistics were between −1 and +1. Internal consistency of the total scale and the SCs was determined by Cronbach’s alpha (α), and a value of 0.70 or greater was considered acceptable. Correlations among the PKAN-DRS I-VI SCs were performed, and an a priori hypothesis was made that SC III would show close correlation with other SCs. Given the diversities among the PKAN-DRS I-VI SCs were performed, and an a priori hypothesis was made that SC III would show close correlation with other SCs. Given the diversity of symptoms evaluated in the PKAN-DRS, we hypothesized that disease severity (measured by the PKAN-DRS total scale and subscales) would correlate negatively with age at onset and positively with disease duration. A multiple linear regression analysis was performed, and results are shown as standardized regression coefficients (beta).

Known-groups validity testing was performed to test the hypothesis that homozygous c.1583C>T patients had a milder phenotype than patients with other PANK2 mutations (Mann-Whitney U test). P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Demographics and Disease-Related Data

Table 1 shows 47 PKAN patients (mean age at disease onset ± SD, 12.5 ± 9.5 years; range, 0.75-51 years; mean age at assessment ± SD, 30 ± 17 years; range, 6-77 years) from 41 families were examined with the PKAN-DRS. Disease duration (years of evolution of the disease at the time of assessment) was 18 ± 14.5 years (range, 2-61 years).

Clinical Assessment With the PKAN-DRS

The PKAN-DRS video protocol was completed in a mean time ± SD of 13.7 ± 2.4 minutes (range, 9.7-16.2 minutes). Mean scores for the 34 items are shown in Table 2 and Figure 1.

Questionnaire

Twenty-six patients (56%) reported some degree of cognitive impairment, and 34 participants (72%) reported at least 1 of the following psychiatric symptoms: sadness and mood disorders (n = 20), irritable behavior (n = 14), anxiety (n = 12), obsessions and compulsions (n = 11), disruptive or aggressive behavior (n = 5), suicidal thoughts (n = 2), and hallucinations (n = 2).

Functional impairment (score ≥ 1) was identified on the following motor and nonmotor experiences of daily living: speech (n = 47), walking (n = 46), handwriting (n = 45), feeding (n = 42), school/work performance (n = 42), dressing (n = 40), hygiene (n = 39), and swallowing (n = 35). Visual impairment was reported in 8 patients.

Motor Examination

Parkinsonian features were identified in all patients. Some patients could not perform some of the motor tasks because of an overlap between parkinsonism and

dysfunction. This subscale contributed 18% (24 of 135) to the global scale.
TABLE 1. Demographic data, global PKAN-DRS scores, and PANK2 mutations in the entire cohort of 47 PKAN patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age at onset</th>
<th>Sex/current age</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Gait</th>
<th>PKAN DRS score</th>
<th>PANK2 allele 1</th>
<th>PANK2 allele 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F/13</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>c.747dupC</td>
<td>c.1475C&gt;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M/18</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>F/22</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M/36</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>Assisted</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M/35</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M/39</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (38)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>c.1211A&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1211A&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M/16</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>F/41</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (22)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>c.790C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.790C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>F/20</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (18)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
<td>c.790C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M/43</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (21)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>c.656G&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1211A&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>F/77</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (69)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>c.1064A&gt;G</td>
<td>c.1502C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>M/63</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Assisted</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>c.1064A&gt;G</td>
<td>c.1502C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>F/9</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>c.1502C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1502C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>M/17</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (13)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>c.570_571delCA</td>
<td>c.980C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>F/24</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (20)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>c.570_571delCA</td>
<td>c.980C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M/20</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>(1536+1_1537-1)del</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>F/23</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>(1536+1_1537-1)del</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M/22</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1424T&gt;C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M/49</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Assisted</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>c.401A&gt;G</td>
<td>c.401A&gt;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0,75</td>
<td>M/7</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (5)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>c.570_571delCA</td>
<td>c.1561G&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M/15</td>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>NA (9)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>c.680A&gt;G</td>
<td>c.680A&gt;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>F/31</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (21)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>c.1211A&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1561G&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F/51</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (26)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>c.1561G&gt;A</td>
<td>c.1070C&gt;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M/31</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>c.698T&gt;A</td>
<td>c.1211A&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M/31</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>c.1475E&gt;G</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M/37</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>Assisted</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>F/18</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (6)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>c.1663-11T&gt;G</td>
<td>c.1663-11T&gt;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F/53</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>NA (53)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>F/10</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>NA (2)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>c.1424T&gt;G</td>
<td>c.1424T&gt;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M/11</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>NA (6)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>c.971A&gt;G</td>
<td>c.971A&gt;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>M/11</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (7)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>c.570_571delCA</td>
<td>c.570_571delCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>M/15</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (6)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>c.570_571delCA</td>
<td>c.570_571delCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>F/24</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Assisted</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
<td>c.1561G&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F/31</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
<td>c.1561G&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F/15</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (7)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>c.175_182del</td>
<td>c.175_182del</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>F/41</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>c.1211A&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1561G&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F/63</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>F/64</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>Assisted</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>F/24</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M/36</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F/42</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>F/42</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
<td>c.1070G&gt;C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/6</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>NA (6)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>c.570_571delCA</td>
<td>c.570_571delCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>F/36</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>c.1211A&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1561G&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F/55</td>
<td>White European</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>c.1424G&gt;A</td>
<td>c.1561G&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>M/25</td>
<td>Roma Population</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
<td>c.1583C&gt;T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age is expressed in years.
F, female; Gait, age at gait loss; M, male; NA, nonambulant; NR, not reported.

severe dystonia, and according to our statement on motor examination, they were scored 4 (eg, 5 of 8 patients on finger tapping, 7 of 13 patients on leg agility, and 15 of 26 patients on postural stability). We were unable to rate freezing severity in 20 patients because they had lost gait. In addition, 3 pediatric patients (P20, P28, and P32) could not be rated on finger tapping and leg agility because of poor collaboration and cognitive impairment.

All patients showed some dystonic features. Jaw and tongue dystonia was a prominent sign (n = 39; 83%), and it was characterized by jaw-opening dystonia (n = 17; 36%), jaw-clenching dystonia (n = 11; 23%), and tongue protrusion (n = 8; 17%).
Twenty-six patients (55%) could not walk at all (score, 4; n = 20), or they needed a walking device or another person’s assistance (score, 3; n = 6). A significant positive correlation was observed between gait impairment and postural stability (r = 0.80) and lower limb dystonia (r = 0.72). When considering only patients who could walk, gait impairment positively correlated with the severity of freezing (r = 0.66). Spasticity did not show statistical correlation with gait impairment in the whole cohort of patients.

A total of 29 patients (62%) had at least 1 alteration in oculomotor activity: hypometric and slowed vertical (n = 21; 44%) and/or horizontal saccades (n = 6; 13%), broken-up smooth pursuit (n = 7; 15%), strabismus (n = 6; 12%), and gaze-evoked nystagmus (n = 5; 10%). We were unable to rate ocular movements in 7 patients (15%) because of a combination of severe blepharospasm (n = 3), dystonic eye deviation (n = 3), poor visual acuity (n = 2), and lack of cooperation and cognitive deficit (n = 2).
Molecular Analysis of the PANK2 Gene

Twenty-three different mutations were detected, 11 of which were novel (Table 1, Supplementary Figure 1, http://espinos.cipf.es/index.php/en/mutations-db). Ten Gypsy patients from Spain and Portugal were homozygous for the c.1583C>T (p.T528M) mutation.

Clinimetric Analysis of PKAN-DRS

Acceptability

SCs I, II, III, and VI showed fully computable data. SCs IV and V presented 2.1% and 2.9% of missing data, respectively. We observed a mild/moderate floor effect in SCs I and II. The complete analysis is shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Internal Consistency

A high level of internal consistency was observed for the total scale (α = 0.87). For the 4 subscales, the values were: SC III, α = 0.89; SC IV, α = 0.83; SC V, α = 0.91; and SC VI, α = 0.33.

Corrected item-total correlations for SCs III (range, 0.40-0.87), IV (range, 0.39-0.74), V (range, 0.35-0.83), and VI (range, -0.09-0.37) are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Correlations among the PKAN-DRS I-VI SCs are stated in Supplementary Table 3.

Interrater Reliability

Table 3 summarizes the kω values for the individual items (mean kω, 0.30-0.93). Substantial or excellent agreement was achieved in 18 of 21 items (85%). The oculomotor assessment and left arm dystonia showed moderate agreement, whereas dystonia of the lower face showed fair agreement. Supplementary Table 4 shows the ICCs for interobserver agreement for the total scale and SCs IV-VI.

Convergent Validity

A total of 21 patients were evaluated by rater 2 using the BFM-DRS. In these patients, the correlation between the BFM-DRS and the PKAN-DRS was 0.93 (P < 0.001).
Known-Groups Validity

The PKAN-DRS showed the ability to discriminate between 2 groups of patients according to the genotype. Ten gypsy patients homozygous for the c.1583C>T (p. T528M) mutation showed lower values for the PKAN-DRS total score and for SCs V and VI than patients with other mutations (Fig. 2).

Additional Results

We did not observe differences between the sexes in the scores on the scale. We performed linear regression analysis including PKAN-DRS total scale and SCs as dependent variables and age at disease onset and years of disease duration as independent variables. This analysis showed a significant negative correlation between age at onset and SC III (beta = -0.43, P = 0.003), SCI V (beta = -0.66, P = 0.004) and SC VI (beta = -0.29, P = 0.047). In addition, this analysis showed a significant positive association between years of disease evolution and SC IV (beta = 0.60, P < 0.0001).

Discussion

The PKAN-DRS was designed to include all motor and nonmotor aspects of PKAN and the consequent functional impairment across different populations. Forty-seven patients aged 6 to 77 years were examined at the referral centers in collaboration with the different investigators. This methodology reduced the substantial burden of patients and caregivers, ensured...
success in the recruitment of patients, and allowed the uniform collection of data and a systematic and standardized evaluation of patients. Moreover, as telemedicine has been considered a reliable tool in clinical research of rare diseases, we developed a videorecording protocol for the motor examination that allowed the assessment of patients by different raters for clinimetric analysis.

Dystonia and parkinsonism, as core features of PKAN, had a relevant representation in the global scale. We quantified dystonia in a standard setting that allowed for the identification of the maximal severity in the same manner in all patients. Dystonia was present in all 47 patients with predominant involvement of the trunk, jaw and tongue, causing retrocollis, jaw opening, jaw clenching, or tongue protrusion. Moreover, the severity of dystonia prevented essential daily activities in a relevant subgroup of patients. One third of patients also showed a prominent action-induced blepharospasm that interfered with the assessment of ocular motility in some cases.

We identified parkinsonian features in all patients with a highly variable range of severity. Freezing of gait was a common feature, present in 48% of patients with assisted or independent gait. Similar to other series, resting tremor was observed in a minority of cases (15%). This is in contrast to Parkinson’s disease, in which rest- ing tremor emerges in the majority of patients.

Disability, dystonia, and other neurological signs were more severe in patients with earlier onset of disease, whereas parkinsonian features were more common in older patients with longer disease duration. Longitudinal studies will be necessary to confirm these results and to determine the existence of a specific phenotype according to the age of presentation.

Ocular dysmotility, especially hypometric and slowed vertical saccades, was a common sign in our series. A dysfunction of the key structures that control conjugate eye movements in the midbrain and the pons may account for these findings in PKAN.

Regarding disability, more than half our PKAN population either could not walk at all or needed a walking device or another person’s assistance. We found that the main contributors to gait impairment were postural stability and lower limb dystonia. Freezing of gait was also a relevant factor in ambulant patients. Dysarthria and dysphagia impaired or prevented speech and swallowing in most patients, probably resulting from a combination of hyper- or hypokinesia in the orofacial, pharyngeal, and laryngeal muscles. The disease also showed a strong impact on school attendance and academic abilities in childhood and later prevented patients from engaging in gainful employment in adulthood.

Cognitive impairment and psychiatric symptoms were common features. Depression, irritable behavior, obsessions and compulsions, and disruptive or aggressive behavior predominated in our cohort, as in previous reports.

The PKAN-DRS showed good internal consistency for the total scale and SCs. Exceptionally low values were observed for SC VI when addressing other neurological signs. Speech, chorea, spasticity, postural tremor, and ocular dysmotility showed low correlation with total SC VI. The heterogenic nature of the items included in this subscale, together with the low prevalence of some of them, particularly chorea, spasticity, and postural tremor, may account for these results. In addition, correlations among the different subscales showed that dystonia and parkinsonism were independent variables with no correlation between them. This is an important finding, as we initially observed that severe dystonia was interfering with the assessment of parkinsonian features in one-third of the sample. On the other hand, the disability subscale showed moderate correlation with the parkinsonism subscale and strong correlation with the subscales rating dystonia and other neurological disturbances, confirming that these aspects had a significant impact on a patient’s capability of performing activities of daily living.

Despite the wide range of ages included in this study and the different stages of evolution, most PKAN patients could follow the instructions to perform the different items of the scale. We recorded missing data of less than 4%, demonstrating good acceptability of the scale. Based on the statistical analysis, we assume that the PKAN-DRS satisfied the criteria for acceptability. However, SCs I and II showed a mild/moderate floor effect, reflecting the proportion of patients without manifestations in these domains.

In this initial validation study, substantial or excellent interrater reliability was demonstrated in most items. Fair agreement was found in the assessment of lower face dystonia, which may be difficult to differentiate from oromandibular dystonia, a prominent sign in PKAN. Finally, we observed a good correlation between the PKAN-DRS and the BFM-DRS, which allowed us to establish convergent validity for the PKAN-DRS and to compare our findings in the context of the existing literature.

The missense mutation c.1583C>T (p.T528M) is one of the most frequent mutations observed in the PANK2 gene. In our series, all the Gypsy patients harbored the PANK2 c.1583C>T change in homozygosis, suggesting a founder effect for this mutation in the Gypsy population from the Iberian Peninsula. These patients had a more benign phenotype, with lower scores for the total PKAN-DRS scale and subscales. This analysis supported construct validity for the scale, as it discriminated a specific genotype with milder severity.

This study also has some limitations. Regarding scale validation, the sample size is under the minimal
number usually accepted for most basic clinimetric attributes. However, given the rarity of the condition that hinders the recruitment of a sufficient sample of patients, we succeeded in recruiting 47 patients, which represented a clinically reasonable number of cases for this rare condition. According to the acceptability analysis, the items for cognitive and psychiatric evaluation should be refined to improve the sensitivity of the assessment, and cognitive pretesting methods may be necessary in the future to assess these domains. The inclusion of items with very low prevalence in our series can be questioned. The next steps will involve the assessment of a larger series of PKAN patients to help us decide if these items should be removed or should remain to ensure the multidimensional quality of the scale. In addition, as a word of caution, the PKAN-DRS focuses on neurological disturbances that contribute significantly to functional disability, but it should not be forgotten that other scales of well-being, activity, and participation will be required for any balanced intervention in this complex and progressive disorder. Nevertheless, a mark of disease stability, that is, not improved but not worse, can also be a “measure” of success, and the PKAN-DRS may play an important role for monitoring these patients.

In conclusion, in this pilot study, we demonstrated that the proposed PKAN-DRS seems to be a reliable and valid scale for assessment of PKAN patients. It allowed an accurate assessment of severity in a cohort of patients who included children, adolescents, adults, and the elderly. We demonstrated that the earlier the onset of the disease, the higher are the ratings for disability, dystonia, and other neurological signs. Also, dystonia and other neurological signs had a strong impact on functional capability. Finally, we identified a strong phenotype-genotype correlation in a cohort of homozygous c.1583C>T Gypsy patients with a milder phenotype. In the future, the development of a teaching videotape will facilitate interrater reliability. Also, additional validation studies with a larger sample size will be required to confirm the present results and to complete the scale validation testing. Hopefully, the PKAN-DRS will be a useful tool in both clinical practice and research.
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